A SLOW SEISMIC EVENT RECORDED IN PASADENA
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Abstract. A prominent long-period wave with a duration of 2000 sec or longer was recorded with a very-broadband system in Pasadena on June 18, 1988. This wave was not observed elsewhere, and is considered of local origin. The acceleration amplitude is 2.5x10^{-3} cm/sec^2 in the northwest direction, with the vertical component less than 10% of the horizontal. The horizontal acceleration can be interpreted as due to a tilt of the ground of 2.5x10^{-8} radians to the northwest. A slowly propagating pressure wave with an amplitude of about 15 mbars could be the cause of the tilt, however, there were no reports suggesting such pressure changes. A more likely cause is a slow tectonic event near Pasadena. The required magnitude of such a slow event is M_w=0, 2, and 4, for a distance of 0.1, 1, and 10 km respectively. This event could be part of a tectonic episode associated with the larger earthquakes which occurred in southern California around this time, especially the December 3, 1988, Pasadena earthquake (M_L=4.9) which occurred six months later within 4 km of the Pasadena station.

Introduction

A very broadband seismographic system (Wielandt-Streckeisen Sensor plus Quanterra data logger) has been in operation at the Kresge Laboratory in Pasadena since December, 1987. This system, which will be referred to as the VBB system hereafter, is part of the IRIS (International Research Institution for Seismology) network and TERRAscopes.

While examining the record of the June 18, 1988, Gulf of California earthquake (M_s=6.6) from the very-long-period (VLP) channel, we noticed a prominent long-period phase. This phase, labelled X phase in Figure 1, is prominent only on the horizontal components, and at first appeared to be one of the multiple G waves from the event. However, a close examination of Figure 1 reveals that the arrival time of this phase does not correspond to that of expected surface wave trains. The X phase was also recorded with the Press-Ewing (30-100) instrument, the Benioff LP (1-90) instrument, and a ultra-long-period system (Pasadena No. 33) at the Kresge Laboratory (Figure 2). However, no signal was recorded on any of the short-period instruments. The VBB and Press-Ewing instruments are installed on the same pier. The Benioff instrument is located in a room adjacent to that which houses the Press-Ewing system. The ultra-long-period system (No. 33) is located very far from the Press-Ewing system, at the opposite end of the vault.

Since the phase was recorded with the VBB as well as other analog instruments, the recorded phase is not instrument noise but a real signal. The complete absence of short-period energy and the time of occurrence (8:00 pm local time, Saturday, June 18) preclude any man-made sources.

No such anomalous phase was recorded at Berkeley (Bruce Bolt, personal communication, 1988), or at the Piñon Flat Observatory (Duncan Agnew, personal communication, 1988) at about this time, which suggests that the X phase is due to some local source rather than the Gulf of California earthquake. The small amplitude on the vertical component suggests that it could be due to tilt, rather than ground accelerations.

Slow seismic events have been reported by several investigators. The cause of these events includes: 1) Slip in soft sediments (Fukao, 1979; Sacks et al., 1978, and 1981), 2) Large-scale slumping both on land and seafloor (Kanamori and Given, 1982), 3) Episodic slip on a subduction thrust plane (Kanamori, 1972; Kanamori and Cipar, 1974; Kanamori and Anderson, 1975; Linde et al., 1988; Cifuentes and Silver, 1989), 4) Magma injection (Kanamori, et al. 1986), 5) Excitation by atmospheric pressure changes, 6) Episodic slip on weak faults along young transform faults (Kanamori and Stewart, 1976 and 1979; Beroza and Jordan, 1989), 7) Episodic slip on crustal detachment surfaces, 8) Unknown processes, possibly in the deep interior of the earth (Dziewonski and Gilbert, 1974). Some of the mechanisms are well established, but others are speculative. Although no

Fig. 1. Very-long-period (VLP) seismograms of the Gulf of California earthquake (M_s=6.6) of June 18, 1988. The response of the instrument is flat in velocity over a period range of 20 to 360 sec. In this range the gain is 1.66x10^8 counts/(cm/sec). At periods longer than 360 sec, it rolls off in proportion to 1/(period)^2. Multiple surface waves are indicated on the trace. The slow event is marked by X.
definitive conclusions can be obtained from data at one station, here we present the data and interpretation to alert other investigators who look at modern broadband data.

Data

Figure 3 shows acceleration records of the X phase computed from the VLP records shown in Figure 1. The N-S and E-W components are very coherent. As shown by the

rotated traces in Figure 3, the acceleration is about $2.5 \times 10^{-8}$ cm/sec$^2$ to SE, which corresponds to a tilt of $2.5 \times 10^{-8}$ radians to NW, the magnitude of which is comparable to that of the earth tide. As shown by Figure 4, the spectrum of the acceleration record sharply falls off at 200 sec.

Interpretation

Although it is not possible to determine the cause of this signal from records at one station, we will examine two possibilities.

![Fig. 3](image-url) The X phase on acceleration records computed from the VLP records shown in Figure 1. Rotated traces (NE-SW and SE-NW components) computed from N-S and E-W components are also shown.

![Fig. 4](image-url) The spectrum of the SE-NW component shown in Figure 3.
Pressure Change

One possible source is a sudden burst of air motion in the Pasadena area. We consider a harmonic loading of an elastic half space by pressure $p_0$.

$$p_\alpha = p_0 \cos(2\pi(x - ct) / \lambda)$$  \hspace{1cm} (1)

where $x$ is the distance, $t$ is the time, $\lambda$ is the wavelength, and $c$ is the propagation velocity. Then the vertical component of the displacement at the surface, $w$, is given by (e.g. Jeffreys, 1959, p.198),

$$w = -(p_0 \lambda / 4\pi\mu)\cos(2\pi(x - ct) / \lambda)$$  \hspace{1cm} (2)

and the vertical acceleration is

$$\ddot{w} = (\pi p_0 c^2 / \mu \lambda)\cos(2\pi(x - ct) / \lambda)$$  \hspace{1cm} (3)

where $\mu$ is the rigidity of the crust.

$$\epsilon_\alpha = \frac{\partial w}{\partial x} = (p_0 / 2\mu)\sin(2\pi(x - ct) / \lambda)$$  \hspace{1cm} (4)

The equivalent horizontal acceleration is

$$\ddot{u} = g \epsilon_\alpha = (p_0 g / 2\mu)\sin(2\pi(x - ct) / \lambda)$$  \hspace{1cm} (5)

From (4), we obtain $p_0=15$ mbars to yield the observed tilt of $2.5 \times 10^{-8}$ radians, where we assume $\mu=3\times10^{11}$ dyne/cm$^2$. For the vertical acceleration to be negligible, we must have

$$\frac{|\ddot{w}_{\text{max}}|}{|\ddot{u}_{\text{max}}|} \leq 0.1$$

from which we obtain, using (3) and (5),

$$c < 90 \text{ m/sec}$$

for $\lambda=50$ km.

Thus, a slow moving pressure wave in the Pasadena area with an amplitude of 15 mbars can explain the observed tilt without vertical acceleration. However, the required amplitude of the pressure change is so large that, if such a pressure change did occur, it would have been noticed by some local residents. The weather in Pasadena at the time was good, and there were no reports suggesting sudden pressure changes. Unfortunately, there were no barograph data available in the Pasadena area. Barograph data recorded at California State University Northridge (about 40 km from Pasadena) indicate no significant pressure changes larger than 1 mbar (Erhling Wang, written communication, 1988). Thus, the available evidence excludes sudden pressure changes as the cause of the X phase.

Slow Tilt.

Another possible source is a slow tectonic event in the Pasadena area. If we assume a double-couple source with a seismic moment $M_0$, the maximum magnitude of tilt at a distance $r$ is approximately given by,

$$\epsilon = M_0 / \pi \mu r^3$$

In order to cause a tilt change of $2.5 \times 10^{-8}$, the required seismic moment of the event is about $2\times10^{16}$ dyne-cm.

Fig. 5. The location and the mechanism of the 1988 Pasadena earthquake sequence (after Jones et al., 1989); the large asterisk indicates the main shock. The figure on the right shows the cross section taken along AA'. The inset shows the geometry of the fault model used for tilt calculation.
(M₀=0), 2×10^{19} \text{ dyne-cm} (M₈=2), and 2×10^{22} \text{ dyne-cm} (M₈=4) for r=0.1 \text{ km}, 1 \text{ km}, and 10 \text{ km} respectively. Although such slow deformation corresponding to an M₀=0 to 4 event may occur in soft sediments or in shallow crust, we considered this possibility unlikely at the time of the event. However, the occurrence of the M₈=4.9 Pasadena earthquake (December 3, 1988) 6 months later only 4 km from the this slow event could be part of a tectonic episode associated with the Pasadena earthquake.

As shown in Figure 5 (Jones et al., 1989), the Pasadena earthquake occurred at a depth of 16 km with a left-lateral mechanism on a N80°E striking fault. We computed static displacement for several fault models using the program written by Okada (1985). If we put a slow event at the hypocenter of the Pasadena earthquake in the geometry shown in the inset of Figure 5, the tilt direction at the Pasadena station is opposite to the observed. The geometry of the fault model is taken from the mechanism of the Pasadena earthquake. This result is consistent with the nearfield displacement shown in figure 2 of Kanamori et al. (1989). Hence a slow slip at the hypocenter of the Pasadena earthquake is not likely to be the cause of the observed signal.

In contrast, if the same source is placed at the epicenter (i.e. at the surface), the tilt computed at the Pasadena station is in the NW direction, the same direction as the observed. A displacement of 0.3 cm is required on a fault plane of 3x1.5 km² to yield the observed tilt of 2.5×10⁻⁶ radians. Thus a slow slip which occurred near the surface, presumably in weak sediments, under the same tectonic stress that caused the Pasadena earthquake could be the cause of the slow event. However, our data are too limited to resolve the details.

It is also interesting to note that two relatively large earthquakes, the Garlock fault event, M₈=5.3, on June 10, 1988, and the Upland earthquake, M₈=4.5, on June 26, 1988, occurred within 100 km of Pasadena around the time of the slow event.
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